

## **Newborn Oxygen Saturation at Mild Altitude versus Sea Level: Implications for Neonatal Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease**

Samuel T.Y., Bromiker R., Mimouni F.B., Picard E., Lahav S., Mandel D., Goldberg S. *Acta Paediatr.* 2013 Jan 8.

### **Aim**

To determine the normal SpO<sub>2</sub> in healthy term newborns at mild-altitude (MA, 780 meters) compared to sea level (SL), within the context of universal screening for critical congenital heart disease (CCHD)  
METHODS: we studied 199 (119 at MA and 80 at SL) consecutively born healthy newborns. SpO<sub>2</sub> recordings were at 24-72 hours using Masimo SET Radical-7 on the right hand and left foot.

### **Results**

Mean SpO<sub>2</sub> was lower at MA compared to SL in the right hand ( $97.86 \pm 1.58$  vs  $98.28 \pm 1.41$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ) and left foot ( $98.49 \pm 1.35$  vs  $98.90 \pm 1.16$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). No infant with SpO<sub>2</sub> <95% had CCHD. Extrapolating with predicted regression lines set at 95% CI, a SpO<sub>2</sub> cutoff of 95% would result in up to 3.5 times more false positive screens at MA compared to SL.

### **Conclusions**

At MA, SpO<sub>2</sub> is approximately 0.4% lower compared to SL. Our study supports the AAP recommendation suggesting algorithm cutoffs may need adjustment in high-altitude nurseries and suggest broadening it to MA as well.